arXiv:math/0701478v1 [math.NT] 17 Jan 2007

Generating spaces of modular forms with η-quotients

L. J. P. Kilford

February 2, 2008

Abstract

In this note we consider a question of Ono, concerning which spaces of classical modular forms can be generated by sums of η-quotients. We give some new examples of spaces of modular forms which can be generated as sums of η-quotients, and show that we can write all modular forms of level $\Gamma_0(N)$ as rational functions of η-products.

1 Introduction

Let z be an element of the Poincaré upper half plane $\mathcal{H}$, and let $q := \exp(2\pi iz)$. We recall the definition of the Dedekind η-function:

$$\eta(q) := q^{1/24}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n).$$

It is well-known that this function plays an important role in the theory of modular forms; for instance, the unique normalised cusp form of level 1 and weight 12, the Δ function, can be written as $\Delta(q) = \eta(q)^{24}$.

Another classical application of the η-function is to the theory of *partitions*; a partition of n is a way of writing n as a sum of positive integers; for instance, $4 = 2+2$ is a partition of 4. The number of partitions of n, $p(n)$, grows quickly with n. For instance, in the early years of the 20^{th} century, MacMahon computed that $p(200) = 397299029388$. The reciprocal of the η-function (with the $q^{1/24}$ removed) gives the following generating function for the $p(n)$:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}p(n)q^n = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{1-q^m}\right).$$

It can be shown that $\eta(q)^{24}$ is a modular form for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ In [1], an explicit transformation formula for $\eta(q)$ under the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is given; it is of the form

$$\eta\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \varepsilon\cdot(cz+d)^{1/2}\eta(z) \text{ for } \begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix}\in\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}), \tag{1}$$

where $\varepsilon^{24} = 1$. (The explicit definition of ε, which depends on a, b, c, d, is complicated, but is given in Theorem 3.4 of [1]).

We see from (1) that $\eta(q)$ satisfies a "weight 1/2" transformation formula, which is consistent with the statement that Δ is a weight 12 modular form.

Definition 1. *Let N be a positive integer, let $\{r_\delta\}$ be a set of integers, and let f be a meromorphic function from the Poincarè upper half plane to $\mathbf{C}$ of the form*

$$f(z) = \prod_{0<\delta|N} \eta\left(q^\delta\right)^{r_\delta}, \text{where } q := \exp(2\pi i z).$$

*We call f an η-*quotient*, and if all of the r_δ are non-negative we say that f is an η-*product*.*

We see that Δ is an η-product; we will see some examples of η-quotients which are modular forms below.

There are some general theorems which tell us when an η-quotient is a classical modular form, by telling us how it transforms under elements of certain congruence subgroups.

Theorem 2 (Ligozat [11], quoted in [13])**.** *Suppose that $f(z)$ is an η-quotient which satisfies the following properties:*

$$\sum_{0<\delta|N} \delta \cdot r_\delta \equiv 0 \mod 24 \tag{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{0<\delta|N} \frac{N}{\delta} \cdot r_\delta \equiv 0 \mod 24. \tag{3}$$

Then $f(z)$ satisfies

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \chi(d)(cz+d)^k f(z) \tag{4}$$

for every $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_0(N)$, where $k := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{0<\delta|N} r_\delta$, and

$$\chi(d) := \left(\frac{(-1)^k \cdot s}{d}\right), \text{where } s := \prod_{0<\delta|N} \delta^{r_\delta}. \tag{5}$$

In [15], the following question is posed:

Question 3 (Ono [15], Problem 1.68)**.** *Which spaces of classical modular forms can be generated by sums of η-quotients?*

It is well-known (see for instance [16], Corollary 2 to Theorem VII.4) that every modular form of level 1 is a polynomial in the Eisenstein series E_4 and E_6,

so if we can write E_4 and E_6 in terms of η-quotients then we can write every modular form of level 1 in terms of η-quotients. In [15], we see that

$$E_4(z) = \frac{\eta(q)^{16}}{\eta(q^2)^8} + 2^8 \cdot \frac{\eta(q^2)^{16}}{\eta(q)^8}$$

and

$$E_6(z) = \frac{\eta(q)^{24}}{\eta(q^2)^{12}} - 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \eta(q^2)^{12} - 2^9 \cdot 3 \cdot 11 \cdot \frac{\eta(q^2)^{12}\eta(q^4)^8}{\eta(q)^8} + 2^{13} \cdot \frac{\eta(q^4)^{24}}{\eta(q^2)^{12}}.$$

One can check that these are identities of modular forms by verifying that each of these η-quotients is a modular form on $\Gamma_0(4)$ and that the q-expansions of both sides agree up to a suitable bound, such as the Sturm bound [10] (also known as the Hecke bound); if a modular form has a zero at the cusp ∞ of large enough degree, then it must be the zero modular form. In this case, we find that the dimension of the space $M_4(\Gamma_0(4))$ is 3, and the Sturm bound here is 2. We can use a computer algebra package to check that these really are equalities.

In this paper we will give further examples of this form, and prove a theorem which says that we can write *every* modular form for a congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$ in terms of η-quotients of level at most $\Gamma_0(4N)$.

2 Writing eigenforms as η-products or η-quotients

We will briefly consider η-products, and show that there are only finitely many spaces of modular forms which can be generated by η-products. These do exist: for instance, $S_2(\Gamma_0(11)) = \mathbf{C} \cdot \eta(q)^2\eta(q^{11})^2$, and $S_1(\Gamma_1(23)) = \mathbf{C} \cdot \eta(q)\eta(q^{23})$. However, it is clear that only finitely many spaces of modular forms can be completely generated by η-products, as there are only finitely many η-products with q-expansion of the form $q^a + O(q^{a+1})$ for any a; this follows from equation (2), because as the level increases the sum increases also.

Questions of this sort have been asked before; in [2], the question of when an η product is also a simultaneous eigenform for the Hecke operators T_p is addressed. In [5], a complete list of the 30 different η-products which are classical modular cuspidal eigenforms of integer weight with no zeroes outside the cusps is given (two of these have half-integral weight). Another proof of this classification was given by [9].

Similarly, in [12], a complete list of all η-quotients which are holomorphic new eigenforms of integral weight for the congruence subgroups $\Gamma_1(N)$ is derived. There are 74 of these, with levels ranging from $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ (the Δ-function) up to $\Gamma_1(576)$ (the modular form $\eta(q^{12})^{-2} \cdot \eta(q^{24})^6 \cdot \eta(q^{48})^{-2} \in S_1(\Gamma_1(576))$). There have been other investigations; in [8], a list of the 65 η-products which have weight 1 and level $\Gamma^*(36)$ is given; the congruence subgroup $\Gamma^*(N)$ is generated by $\Gamma_0(36)$ and the Fricke involution $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/\sqrt{N} \\ -1/\sqrt{N} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Another angle of inquiry about η-products is given in [6], which considers η-products which are lacunary modular forms; in other words, they have many zero Fourier coefficients.

3 Sums of η-products and η-quotients

We see that, from the results we have mentioned in the previous section, if we are to generate all spaces of modular forms for a given congruence subgroup Γ we will need to expand from η-products and η-quotients to consider more general objects. We will consider sums of η-products and η-quotients in this section.

From equation (4), we see that the only characters we can have are the Legendre symbols, so if we are considering congruence subgroups containing $\Gamma_1(N)$ we are restricted to the cases of $\Gamma_0(N)$ and $\Gamma_0(N)$ plus a character of order 2.

We will be using the standard formulae for the dimension of spaces of modular forms in this section; these can be found in many books on modular forms; one reference is Theorem 3.5.1 and Figure 3.3 of [4]. Let $g = \lfloor (p+1)/12 \rfloor$ if $p \not\equiv 1 \mod 12$ and $\lfloor (p+1)/12 \rfloor - 1$ otherwise (this is the genus of $X_0(p)$). The formulae (if $N = p$ is prime) are (for $k \geq 4$ and $N \geq 5$):

$$\begin{aligned} \dim S_k(\Gamma_0(p)) &= (k-1)\cdot(g-1) + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor \cdot \left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\right) \\ &+ \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor \cdot \left(1 + \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right) + k - 2. \end{aligned}$$

The dimension of $S_2(\Gamma_0(p))$ is g, and the dimension of the space of Eisenstein series is 1 if $k = 2$ and 2 if $k \geq 4$ (the Eisenstein series of weight $k \geq 4$ are the images of E_k under the two degeneracy maps from level 1 to level p, and the Eisenstein series of weight 2 is defined in terms of the Eisenstein series of weight 2 which is not a modular form). There are similar formulae for N composite and for other congruence subgroups.

We will first consider some more examples of spaces of modular forms which can be generated by η-quotients: for example, we can write every modular form of level $\Gamma_0(2)$ as a sum of η-quotients. It will suffice to show that $M_2(\Gamma_0(2))$, $M_4(\Gamma_0(2))$, $M_6(\Gamma_0(2))$ and $M_8(\Gamma_0(2))$ can be generated by sums of η-quotients, because the following relation holds:

$$S_{k+8}(\Gamma_0(2)) = f \cdot M_k(\Gamma_0(2)), \text{ for } k \in \mathbf{N},$$

where $f = \lambda \cdot (\eta(z)\cdot\eta(2z))^8 \in S_8(\Gamma_0(2))$. This can be derived from the dimension formulae that we have given above.

Because we can write level 1 modular forms as sums of η-quotients, and we know (for instance, from Proposition III.3.19 of [7]) that the unique normalised cusp-form f of weight 8 and level $\Gamma_0(2)$ is an η-quotient, we need only to consider $M_2(\Gamma_0(2))$, which is 1-dimensional. Using Magma [3], and the fact that the Hecke bound for the space $M_2(\Gamma_0(8))$ is 3, we see that

$$\frac{\eta(q^2)^{20}}{\eta(q)^8 \cdot \eta(q^4)^8} + 16 \cdot \frac{\eta(q^8)}{\eta(q^2)^4} = E_{2,2} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(2)),$$

so every modular form of level $\Gamma_0(2)$ can be written as a sum of η-quotients. We need to consider the space of level $\Gamma_0(8)$ because the η-quotients have level $\Gamma_0(8)$.

Similarly, to show that every modular form for $\Gamma_0(3)$ is a sum of η-quotients, we need to check that $E_{3,2} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(3))$ is a sum of η-quotients. After some calculation, we find (using the fact that the Hecke bound for $M_2(\Gamma_0(27))$ is 6) that

$$36\frac{\eta(q^9)^6}{\eta(q^3)^2}+12\frac{\eta(q^3)^2}{\eta(q^9)^2}+\frac{\eta(q^9)^{10}}{\eta(q^3)^3\eta(q^{27})^3}+108\frac{\eta(q^9)^2\eta(q^{27})^3}{\eta(q^3)}+9\frac{\eta(q^3)^3\eta(q^{27})^3}{\eta(q^9)^2}+9\frac{\eta(q^{27})^6}{\eta(q^9)^2}$$

is equal to $E_{3,2}$; this, together with the knowledge that $S_6(\Gamma_0(3)) = \mathbf{C}\cdot\eta(q)^6\eta(q^3)^6$ and that the Eisenstein series of weights 4 and 6 and level $\Gamma_0(3)$ are oldforms and therefore the image of forms of level 1 which can be written as η quotients, shows us that we can write modular forms of level $\Gamma_0(3)$ as sums of η quotients of level at most $4 \cdot 27$.

In fact, we can write E_4 and E_6 as sums of eta-quotients of level 27, so we can actually write every modular form for $\Gamma_0(3)$ in terms of η quotients of level 27.

In a similar fashion, we can write the elements of $M_3(\Gamma_1(3))$ as a linear combination of $\eta(q^3)^9/\eta(q)^3$ and $\eta(q)^9/\eta(q^3)^3$; these, together with the Eisenstein series of level 3 and weights 2,4 and 6 and the cusp form of level 3 and weight 6 generate all of the modular forms of level 3 and odd weight, so we can write every modular form of level $\Gamma_1(3)$ as a sum of η-quotients.

We can prove similar results for level $\Gamma_1(4)$ as well. Using Exercise III.3.17 of Koblitz's book on modular forms [7], we see that every $f \in M_k(\Gamma_1(4))$ can be written as a polynomial in the modular forms $F := \eta(q^4)^8/\eta(q^2)^4$, which has weight 2, and $\theta^2 := \eta(q^2)^{10}/(\eta(q)^4\eta(q^4)^4)$, which has weight 1. As both of these are η-quotients, we are done; every modular form of level $\Gamma_1(4)$ can be written as a sum of η-quotients of level at most 4.

A rather tedious MAGMA calculation shows us that the unique Eisenstein series $E_{5,2}$ of level $\Gamma_0(5)$ and weight 2 can be written as the following sum of η-quotients of level $\Gamma_0(20)$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{5,2}(q) &= 5\cdot\frac{\eta(q^2)^3\eta(q^4)^4\eta(q^5)^3\eta(q^{10})^5}{\eta(q)^7\eta(q^{20})^4} - 2\cdot\frac{\eta(q^2)^7\eta(q^4)^2\eta(q^5)^3\eta(q^{10})}{\eta(q)^7\eta(q^{20})^2} \\ &- 4\cdot\frac{\eta(q^2)^7\eta(q^4)^7\eta(q^{10})^5}{\eta(q)^7\eta(q^5)^5\eta(q^{20})^3} + \frac{\eta(q^4)^4\eta(q^5)^6\eta(q^{10})^4}{\eta(q)^6\eta(q^{20})^4} \\ &+ 2\cdot\frac{\eta(q^2)^4\eta(q^4)^2\eta(q^5)^6}{\eta(q)^6\eta(q^{20})^2} - \frac{\eta(q^2)^4\eta(q^4)^7\eta(q^{10})^4}{\eta(q)^6\eta(q^5)^2\eta(q^{20})^3}. \end{aligned}$$

This follows because these six η-quotients generate $M_2(\Gamma_0(20))$, where $E_{5,2}$ appears as an oldform. We can find the coefficients of these using linear algebra.

In a very similar way, we can write both elements of $M_2(\Gamma_0(5), \left(\frac{\cdot}{5}\right))$ as η-quotients by considering them as oldforms of level 20 and finding a basis of η-quotients for this space of level 20 forms. This suffices to show that all modular forms with character $\left(\frac{\cdot}{5}\right)$ can be written as sums of η-quotients.

At this point, we notice that if $p \geq 5$ is a prime then we cannot write every modular form for $\Gamma_0(p)$ as a sum of η-quotients of level p^r. We use the dimension

Group	Level of η-products needed
$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$	4 or 27
$\Gamma_1(2)$	8
$\Gamma_1(3)$	27
$\Gamma_1(4)$	4
$\Gamma_0(5)$	20
$\Gamma_0(7)$	28

Table 1: Levels of η-quotients needed to generate spaces of modular forms

formulae for $M_2(\Gamma_0(p))$ to show that there is at least one Eisenstein series of weight 2 with nonzero constant term in its Fourier expansion which we need to write as a sum of η quotients, each of which has the form $\eta(q)^a\eta(q^p)^b\cdots\eta\left(q^{p^r}\right)^z$. Therefore, we need to solve the following two simultaneous equations:

$$a + p\cdot b + p^2\cdot c + \cdots + p^r\cdot z = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$a + b + c + \cdots + z = 4; \quad (7)$$

putting these together, we find that

$$(p-1)\cdot b + (p^2-1)\cdot c + \cdots + (p^r-1)\cdot z = -4. \quad (8)$$

We now reduce (8) modulo $p-1$; the left hand side vanishes, and the only way that the right hand side can vanish modulo $p-1$ is if $p \in \{2,3,5\}$.

Now we consider the case when $p=5$. We use the formula for the character of the η-quotient given in (5) to work on (8); after dividing both sides by 4, we see that the right hand side is -1, whereas the left hand side has only even entries (because the requirement that the character be trivial forces the sum $b+d+f+\cdots$ to be even). This is a contradiction.

We can tackle $\Gamma_0(7)$ in a similar fashion; in this case, we have to check that we can generate $M_2(\Gamma_0(7))$ and $M_4(\Gamma_0(7))$ by η-quotients, and again we prove this by finding a basis of η-quotients for $M_2(\Gamma_0(28))$ and $M_4(\Gamma_0(28))$ and then considering the level 7 spaces as oldforms in these spaces. Here we find that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{7,2} &= 16\cdot\frac{\eta(q^2)^6\eta(q^4)^6\eta(q^{14})^2}{\eta(q)^8\eta(q^{28})^2} - 32\cdot\frac{\eta(q^2)^4\eta(q^4)^3\eta(q^7)^6}{\eta(q)^6\eta(q^{14})^2\eta(q^{28})} \\ &+ \frac{49}{2}\cdot\frac{\eta(q^4)\eta(q^7)^8\eta(q^{14})^2}{\eta(q)^4\eta(q^{28})^3} - 10\cdot\frac{\eta(q^2)^4\eta(q^4)^6\eta(q^{14})^4}{\eta(q)^4\eta(q^7)^4\eta(q^{28})^2} \\ &- \frac{16}{7}\cdot\frac{\eta(q^2)^5\eta(q^7)^5}{\eta(q)^3\eta(q^{14})} + 6\cdot\frac{\eta(q^2)^7\eta(q^4)^2\eta(q^{28})^2}{\eta(q)\eta(q^7)\eta(q^{14})^5} \\ &- \frac{17}{14}\cdot\frac{\eta(q)\eta(q^2)^3\eta(q^7)^5\eta(q^{14})^5}{\eta(q^4)^7\eta(q^{28})^3}; \end{aligned}$$

the equations for the three cuspforms in $S_4(\Gamma_0(7))$ are similar.

4 Generating spaces of modular forms with rational functions of η-quotients

We now consider a larger set of functions than in the previous section; we will now consider *rational functions* of η-quotients and η-products. We will now prove the following theorem on the generation of spaces of modular forms by rational functions of η-quotients (and in fact by rational functions of η-products):

Theorem 4. *Let N be a positive integer, let k be a positive even integer, and let $f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be a modular form. Then we can write f as a rational function of η-quotients.*

Proof. We first note that the classical j-invariant is an η-quotient, because we can write it as

$$j := \frac{E_4^3}{\Delta},$$

where E_4 is an η-quotient and $\Delta = \eta(q)^{24}$. This means that the modular function $j(q^N)$ is also an η-quotient, for any $N \in \mathbf{N}$.

We now quote a theorem about the field of modular functions of weight 0 for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$; this is proved in the online notes of Milne [14] on modular forms and modular functions:

Theorem 5 (Milne [14], Theorem 6.1)**.** *The field $\mathbf{C}(X_0(N))$ of modular functions for $\Gamma_0(N)$ is generated over $\mathbf{C}$ by $j(q)$ and $j(q^N)$. In particular, every modular function for $\Gamma_0(N)$ is a rational function of $j(q)$ and $j(q^N)$.*

By standard properties of modular forms, the quotient f/g of two modular forms of weight k for a congruence subgroup Γ is a modular function of weight 0 for that congruence subgroup, with possible poles at the zeroes of g.

We therefore take $f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ and consider the modular function

$$g := \frac{f \cdot E_4^a \cdot E_6^b}{\Delta^c} \in \mathcal{M}_0(\Gamma_0(N)), \tag{9}$$

where we choose $a \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $k+4a+6b-12c = 0$. This has weight 0 by definition, so by Theorem 5 we can write it as a rational function of $j(q)$ and $j(q^N)$, which are both rational functions of η-products. From before, we recall that E_4, E_6 and Δ are all rational functions of η-products, so we can write f as a rational function of η-products, which is what we set out to do. □

It is interesting to note that we only needed to use η-products of level $4N$ here (the N coming from the level of our form f, and the 4 coming from the η-quotient representations of E_4 and E_6). This corresponds to the figures in Table 1, where we needed to raise the level by a factor of at least 4, corresponding to the level 4 η-quotients that we used for E_4 and E_6.

We see also that we have to have either the square of a prime or two distinct primes dividing the level, because we always need to write E_4 and E_6 in terms of η-products, and we need to find at least two different solutions to the set of simultaneous equations in the powers of the η^i (one for E_4 and one for E_6). This means that we need to have at least 3 i, so in particular we cannot write E_4 and E_6 just in terms of η-quotients of level p for any prime p.

If we are willing to raise the level then we can use $g := \eta(q)^2\eta(q^{11})^2 \in S_2(\Gamma_0(11))$ as a multiplier in (9), so the only thing stopping our rational function being a polynomial is the numerator of the modular function of weight 0. However, we *cannot* assume that g only has a zero at ∞, because while the modular form $\Delta(q) \in S_{12}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}))$ only has a simple zero at ∞ and no zeroes elsewhere, the modular form $\Delta(q) \in S_{12}(\Gamma_0(N))$ (viewed as an oldform) has many zeroes; it has one at each cusp of $\Gamma_0(N)$.

5 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Paul-Olivier Dehaye, Ken McMurdy and Alan Lauder for helpful conversations. The computations for this article were carried out on William Stein's computer `MECCAH` and on the Mathematics Institute in Oxford's computers; the author is grateful for this computer time.

References

[1] Tom M. Apostol. *Modular functions and Dirichlet series in number theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 41.

[2] Anthony J. F. Biagioli. Eta-products which are simultaneous eigenforms of Hecke operators. *Glasgow Math. J.*, 35(3):307–323, 1993.

[3] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust. The Magma algebra system I: The user language. *J. Symb. Comp.*, 24(3–4):235–265, 1997. See `http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au`.

[4] Fred Diamond and Jerry Shurman. *A first course in modular forms*, volume 228 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

[5] D. Dummit, H. Kisilevsky, and J. McKay. Multiplicative products of η-functions. In *Finite groups—coming of age (Montreal, Que., 1982)*, volume 45 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 89–98. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1985.

[6] Basil Gordon and Sinai Robins. Lacunarity of Dedekind η-products. *Glasgow Math. J.*, 37(1):1–14, 1995.

[7] N. Koblitz. *Introduction to Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms*. Springer, New York, 1993.

[8] Günter Köhler. Eta products of weight 1 and level 36. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 76(3):202–214, 2001.

[9] Masao Koike. On McKay's conjecture. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 95:85–89, 1984.

[10] Joan-C. Lario and René Schoof. Some computations with Hecke rings and deformation rings. *Experiment. Math.*, 11(2):303–311, 2002. With an appendix by Amod Agashe and William Stein.

[11] Gérard Ligozat. *Courbes modulaires de genre* 1. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1975. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mém. 43, Supplément au Bull. Soc. Math. France Tome 103, no. 3.

[12] Yves Martin. Multiplicative η-quotients. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 348(12):4825–4856, 1996.

[13] Ken McMurdy. *A Splitting Criterion for Galois Representations Associated to Exceptional Modular Forms*. PhD thesis, U.C. Berkeley, 2001. Available at `http://www.rose-hulman.edu~mcmurdy/research/`.

[14] J. Milne. Modular functions and modular forms. Available at `http://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/math678.html`, 1997.

[15] Ken Ono. *The web of modularity: arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and q-series*, volume 102 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 2004.

[16] J.-P. Serre. *A course in arithmetic*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973. Translated from the French, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 7.